



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,580	03/10/2004	Arnold Blinn	MS#304543.01 (5101)	6335
38779	7590	07/28/2009		
SENNIGER POWERS LLP (MSFT)			EXAMINER	
100 NORTH BROADWAY			SHAIFFER HARRIMAN, DANT B	
17TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST. LOUIS, MO 63102			2434	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/28/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspatents@senniger.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/798,580	Applicant(s) BLINN ET AL.
	Examiner DANT B. SHAIFER HARRIMAN	Art Unit 2434

All Participants:**Status of Application:** Pending(1) DANT B. SHAIFER HARRIMAN.(3) Frank Agovino.

(2) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 14 July 2009**Time:** 3pm**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1, 15, 20, 22, 30, 35

Prior art documents discussed:

*None***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kambiz Zand/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2434

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The substance of the interview as the following;

The examiner explained to applicant that claim 20 contains allowable subject matter, and should have been indicated in the office action dated 04/13/2009, but wasn't due to a typo in the office action. The examiner also explained the examiners comments on page 5 of the office action dated 04/13/2009, that if claim 20 is amended into claim 19 and claim 19 is amended into claim 15, this would make independent claim allowable subject matter. The examiner further indicated that if the subject matter of applicants specification, specifically paragraphs 0020, and 0043, 0073 were amended in to independent claims 1, 22, 30, 35, they also would contain allowable subject matter. The examiner suggested an examiner amendment to this effect, and applicant replied that he would have to obtain permission from client before proceeding to execute the examiners suggested amendments to facilitate the examiners amendment to move the application to allowance.